# Non-renormalization of the 'chiral anomaly' in interacting lattice Weyl semimetals

Alessandro Giuliani Univ. Roma Tre & Centro Linceo Interdisciplinare *B. Segre* Conferenza del Centro Linceo

> Based on a joint work with V. Mastropietro and M. Porta

MCQM Seminar, Politecnico di Milano, December 14, 2020



## Outline



# The chiral anomaly in QED<sub>4</sub>

# Lattice Weyl semimetals & Main results



## Outline



# The chiral anomaly in QED<sub>4</sub>

# 3 Lattice Weyl semimetals & Main results

Sketch of the proof

The Dirac equation describes relativistic electrons

$$i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi-m\psi=0$$

and, if m = 0, is equivalent to two Weyl equations

$$\sigma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi_{+} = 0, \qquad \bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi_{-} = 0$$
  
for  $\psi_{\pm} = \frac{1\pm\gamma_{5}}{2}\psi$ , where  $\bar{\sigma}^{\mu} = (\mathbb{1}, -\sigma_{1}, -\sigma_{2}, -\sigma_{3}).$ 

for  $\psi_+$ 

The Dirac equation describes relativistic electrons

$$i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi-m\psi=0$$

and, if m = 0, is equivalent to two Weyl equations

$$\sigma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi_{+} = 0, \qquad \bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi_{-} = 0$$
  
=  $\frac{1\pm\gamma_{5}}{2}\psi$ , where  $\bar{\sigma}^{\mu} = (\mathbb{1}, -\sigma_{1}, -\sigma_{2}, -\sigma_{3}).$ 

Under special circumstances, these equations also describe quasi-particles in a crystal. Well-known examples: graphene and surface states of 3D topological insulators (TI).

The Dirac equation describes relativistic electrons

$$i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi-m\psi=0$$

and, if m = 0, is equivalent to two Weyl equations

$$\sigma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi_{+}=0, \qquad ar{\sigma}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi_{-}=0$$

for  $\psi_{\pm} = \frac{1 \pm \gamma_5}{2} \psi$ , where  $\bar{\sigma}^{\mu} = (\mathbb{1}, -\sigma_1, -\sigma_2, -\sigma_3)$ .

Under special circumstances, these equations also describe quasi-particles in a crystal. Well-known examples: graphene and surface states of 3D topological insulators (TI).

Recent: 3D Weyl semimetals with point-like Fermi surface.



# Low-energy excitations of Weyl semimetals behave like Dirac fermions in $d = 3 + 1 \Rightarrow$ at low T they mimick infrared QED<sub>4</sub>.

Low-energy excitations of Weyl semimetals behave like Dirac fermions in  $d = 3 + 1 \Rightarrow$  at low T they mimick infrared QED<sub>4</sub>.

Do Weyl semimetals support the analogue of chiral anomaly?

Low-energy excitations of Weyl semimetals behave like Dirac fermions in  $d = 3 + 1 \Rightarrow$  at low T they mimick infrared QED<sub>4</sub>.

Do Weyl semimetals support the analogue of chiral anomaly? Nielsen-Ninomiya 1983: YES, the analogue being a flow of quasi-particles from one Weyl node to the other:

$$\partial_t \langle \mathsf{N}_+(t) - \mathsf{N}_-(t) 
angle = rac{1}{2\pi^2} \mathsf{E} \cdot \mathsf{B}.$$

Low-energy excitations of Weyl semimetals behave like Dirac fermions in  $d = 3 + 1 \Rightarrow$  at low T they mimick infrared QED<sub>4</sub>.

Do Weyl semimetals support the analogue of chiral anomaly? Nielsen-Ninomiya 1983: YES, the analogue being a flow of quasi-particles from one Weyl node to the other:

$$\partial_t \langle \mathsf{N}_+(t) - \mathsf{N}_-(t) \rangle = rac{1}{2\pi^2} \mathsf{E} \cdot \mathsf{B}.$$

The  $\frac{1}{2\pi^2}$  is the analogue of the Adler-Bell-Jackiw anomaly.

#### In QED, ABJ anomaly not dressed by radiative corrections: Adler-Bardeen anomaly non-renormalization theorem.

In QED, ABJ anomaly not dressed by radiative corrections: Adler-Bardeen anomaly non-renormalization theorem.

Its perturbative proof is based on cancellations of loop integrals, which require exact relativistic invariance.

In QED, ABJ anomaly not dressed by radiative corrections: Adler-Bardeen anomaly non-renormalization theorem.

Its perturbative proof is based on cancellations of loop integrals, which require exact relativistic invariance.

Should the same universal coefficient be observed in interacting Weyl semimetals?

In QED, ABJ anomaly not dressed by radiative corrections: Adler-Bardeen anomaly non-renormalization theorem.

Its perturbative proof is based on cancellations of loop integrals, which require exact relativistic invariance.

Should the same universal coefficient be observed in interacting Weyl semimetals? Remarkably, YES!

In QED, ABJ anomaly not dressed by radiative corrections: Adler-Bardeen anomaly non-renormalization theorem.

Its perturbative proof is based on cancellations of loop integrals, which require exact relativistic invariance.

Should the same universal coefficient be observed in interacting Weyl semimetals? Remarkably, YES!

We consider a class of lattice Weyl semimetals with short range interactions, and prove universality of the ABJ anomaly.

In QED, ABJ anomaly not dressed by radiative corrections: Adler-Bardeen anomaly non-renormalization theorem.

Its perturbative proof is based on cancellations of loop integrals, which require exact relativistic invariance.

Should the same universal coefficient be observed in interacting Weyl semimetals? Remarkably, YES!

We consider a class of lattice Weyl semimetals with short range interactions, and prove universality of the ABJ anomaly.

Mechanism: very different from Adler-Bardeen proof. Our ingredients: rigorous RG, regularity of current correlations, lattice Ward Identities. Important fact: short range interactions are irrelevant in the IR.

## Outline



# <sup>2</sup> The chiral anomaly in QED<sub>4</sub>

# 3 Lattice Weyl semimetals & Main results

# Sketch of the proof

Consider massless 4D Dirac fermions in a background field:

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi, \mathcal{A}) = ar{\psi} \gamma_\mu (i \partial_\mu - \mathcal{A}_\mu) \psi$$

where  $\bar{\psi} = \psi^{\dagger} \gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_{\mu}$  are Euclidean Gamma matrices:

$$\{\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}\} = 2\delta_{\mu,\nu}, \quad \text{e.g.}: \quad \gamma_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma_{j}\\ -i\sigma_{j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Consider massless 4D Dirac fermions in a background field:

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi, \mathcal{A}) = ar{\psi} \gamma_\mu (i \partial_\mu - \mathcal{A}_\mu) \psi$$

where  $\bar{\psi} = \psi^{\dagger} \gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_{\mu}$  are Euclidean Gamma matrices:

$$\{\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}\} = 2\delta_{\mu,\nu}, \quad \text{e.g.}: \quad \gamma_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma_{j}\\ -i\sigma_{j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\mathcal{L}$  covariant under local U(1) gauge transformation:

$$\psi_{\mathbf{x}} \to e^{-i\alpha(\mathbf{x})}\psi_{\mathbf{x}} , \quad \psi_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger} \to \psi_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger}e^{+i\alpha(\mathbf{x})}, \quad A_{\mu,\mathbf{x}} \to A_{\mu,\mathbf{x}} + \partial_{\mu}\alpha(\mathbf{x}).$$

Consider massless 4D Dirac fermions in a background field:

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi, \mathcal{A}) = ar{\psi} \gamma_\mu (i \partial_\mu - \mathcal{A}_\mu) \psi$$

where  $\bar{\psi} = \psi^{\dagger} \gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_{\mu}$  are Euclidean Gamma matrices:

$$\{\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}\} = 2\delta_{\mu,\nu}, \quad \text{e.g.}: \quad \gamma_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma_{j}\\ -i\sigma_{j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\mathcal{L}$  covariant under local U(1) gauge transformation:

 $\psi_{\mathbf{x}} \to e^{-i\alpha(\mathbf{x})}\psi_{\mathbf{x}} , \quad \psi_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger} \to \psi_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger}e^{+i\alpha(\mathbf{x})}, \quad A_{\mu,\mathbf{x}} \to A_{\mu,\mathbf{x}} + \partial_{\mu}\alpha(\mathbf{x}).$ 

 $\mathcal L$  invariant under global axial U(1) gauge transformation:

$$\psi_{\mathbf{x}} \to e^{i\gamma_5 \alpha^5} \psi_{\mathbf{x}} , \qquad \gamma_5 = \gamma_0 \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0\\ 0 & -\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider massless 4D Dirac fermions in a background field:

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi, \mathcal{A}) = ar{\psi} \gamma_\mu (i \partial_\mu - \mathcal{A}_\mu) \psi$$

where  $\bar{\psi} = \psi^{\dagger} \gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_{\mu}$  are Euclidean Gamma matrices:

$$\{\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}\} = 2\delta_{\mu,\nu}, \quad \text{e.g.}: \quad \gamma_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma_{j}\\ -i\sigma_{j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\mathcal{L}$  covariant under local U(1) gauge transformation:

$$\begin{split} \psi_{\mathbf{x}} &\to e^{-i\alpha(\mathbf{x})}\psi_{\mathbf{x}} , \quad \psi_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger} \to \psi_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger} e^{+i\alpha(\mathbf{x})}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{\mu,\mathbf{x}} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mu,\mathbf{x}} + \partial_{\mu}\alpha(\mathbf{x}). \\ \text{Axial symmetry: same as } \psi_{\mathbf{x},\omega} \to e^{-i\omega\alpha^{5}}\psi_{\mathbf{x},\omega}, \text{ with } \omega = \pm: \end{split}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_+ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1+\gamma_5}{2}\psi, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi_- \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1-\gamma_5}{2}\psi, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_+ \\ \psi_- \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider massless 4D Dirac fermions in a background field:

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi, \mathcal{A}) = ar{\psi} \gamma_\mu (i \partial_\mu - \mathcal{A}_\mu) \psi$$

where  $\bar{\psi} = \psi^{\dagger} \gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_{\mu}$  are Euclidean Gamma matrices:

$$\{\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}\} = 2\delta_{\mu,\nu}, \quad \text{e.g.}: \quad \gamma_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma_{j}\\ -i\sigma_{j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\mathcal{L}$  covariant under local U(1) gauge transformation:

$$\psi_{\mathbf{x}} \to e^{-i\alpha(\mathbf{x})}\psi_{\mathbf{x}} , \quad \psi_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger} \to \psi_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger} e^{+i\alpha(\mathbf{x})}, \quad A_{\mu,\mathbf{x}} \to A_{\mu,\mathbf{x}} + \partial_{\mu}\alpha(\mathbf{x}).$$

Axial symm. can be promoted to local U(1), by adding to  $\mathcal{L}$  an auxiliary term  $-A^5_{\mu}\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5\psi$ , and letting

$$\psi_{\mathbf{x}} \to e^{-i\gamma^5 \alpha^5(\mathbf{x})} \psi_{\mathbf{x}} , \quad \psi_{\mathbf{x}}^\dagger \to \psi_{\mathbf{x}}^\dagger e^{+i\gamma^5 \alpha^5(\mathbf{x})}, \quad A_{\mu,\mathbf{x}}^5 \to A_{\mu,\mathbf{x}}^5 + \partial_\mu \alpha^5(\mathbf{x})$$

#### Conserved currents: classical and quantum

Classically, Noether's theorem  $\Rightarrow [\partial_{\mu} j_{\mu} = 0]$  and  $[\partial_{\mu} j_{\mu}^{5} = 0]$ with  $j_{\mu} = \bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\psi$  and  $j_{\mu}^{5} = \bar{\psi}\gamma^{5}\gamma_{\mu}\psi$ , states conservation of the total and axial charges:

$$j_0 = \psi^{\dagger}\psi = \sum_{\omega=\pm} \psi^{\dagger}_{\omega}\psi_{\omega}, \qquad j_0^5 = \psi^{\dagger}\gamma_5\psi = \sum_{\omega=\pm} \omega\psi^{\dagger}_{\omega}\psi_{\omega}.$$

#### Conserved currents: classical and quantum

Classically, Noether's theorem  $\Rightarrow [\partial_{\mu} j_{\mu} = 0]$  and  $[\partial_{\mu} j_{\mu}^{5} = 0]$ with  $j_{\mu} = \bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\psi$  and  $j_{\mu}^{5} = \bar{\psi}\gamma^{5}\gamma_{\mu}\psi$ , states conservation of the total and axial charges:

$$j_0 = \psi^{\dagger}\psi = \sum_{\omega=\pm} \psi^{\dagger}_{\omega}\psi_{\omega}, \qquad j_0^5 = \psi^{\dagger}\gamma_5\psi = \sum_{\omega=\pm} \omega\psi^{\dagger}_{\omega}\psi_{\omega}.$$

These conservation laws might be broken in quantum theory, due to UV regularization.

$$e^{W(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{A}^5)} \propto \int D\psi e^{-i(ar{\psi},ar{g}\psi)+(A_\mu,j_\mu)+(A^5_\mu,j^5_\mu)}$$

#### Conserved currents: classical and quantum

Classically, Noether's theorem  $\Rightarrow [\partial_{\mu} j_{\mu} = 0]$  and  $[\partial_{\mu} j_{\mu}^{5} = 0]$ with  $j_{\mu} = \bar{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \psi$  and  $j_{\mu}^{5} = \bar{\psi} \gamma^{5} \gamma_{\mu} \psi$ , states conservation of the total and axial charges:

$$j_0 = \psi^{\dagger}\psi = \sum_{\omega=\pm} \psi^{\dagger}_{\omega}\psi_{\omega}, \qquad j_0^5 = \psi^{\dagger}\gamma_5\psi = \sum_{\omega=\pm} \omega\psi^{\dagger}_{\omega}\psi_{\omega}.$$

These conservation laws might be broken in quantum theory, due to UV regularization.

$$e^{W(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{A}^5)} \propto \int D\psi e^{-i(ar{\psi},oldsymbol{\partial}\psi)+(A_\mu,j_\mu)+(A^5_\mu,j^5_\mu)}.$$

Formally,  $W(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}^5) = W(\mathbf{A} + \partial \alpha, \mathbf{A}^5 + \partial \alpha^5)$ , from which  $\langle \partial_{\mu} j_{\mu} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = 0, \qquad \langle \partial_{\mu} j_{\mu}^5 \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = 0,$ 

where 
$$\langle O(\psi) \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = \frac{\int D\psi e^{-i(\bar{\psi}, \partial \!\!\!/ \psi) + (A_{\mu}, j_{\mu})} O(\psi)}{\int D\psi e^{-i(\bar{\psi}, \partial \!\!\!/ \psi) + (A_{\mu}, j_{\mu})}}.$$

# Loop cancellation and UV divergences

Note: 
$$\langle \partial_{\mu} j_{\mu}^{\sharp} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = 0$$
 is the same as [letting  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{p}_n$ ]  
 $p_{\mu} \langle \hat{j}_{\mu,\mathbf{p}}^{\sharp} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{n!} p_{\mu} \hat{A}_{\mu_1,\mathbf{p}_1} \cdots \hat{A}_{\mu_n,\mathbf{p}_n} \langle j_{\mu,\mathbf{p}}^{\sharp}; j_{\mu_1,\mathbf{p}_1}; \cdots; j_{m_n,\mathbf{p}_n} \rangle_0 = 0.$ 

## Loop cancellation and UV divergences

Note: 
$$\langle \partial_{\mu} j_{\mu}^{\sharp} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = 0$$
 is the same as [letting  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{p}_n$ ]

$$p_{\mu}\langle \hat{j}_{\mu,\mathbf{p}}^{\sharp}\rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} p_{\mu} \hat{A}_{\mu_{1},\mathbf{p}_{1}} \cdots \hat{A}_{\mu_{n},\mathbf{p}_{n}} \langle j_{\mu,\mathbf{p}}^{\sharp}; j_{\mu_{1},\mathbf{p}_{1}}; \cdots; j_{m_{n},\mathbf{p}_{n}} \rangle_{0} = 0.$$

That is, all loop diagrams cancel:



## Loop cancellation and UV divergences

Note: 
$$\langle \partial_{\mu} j_{\mu}^{\sharp} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = 0$$
 is the same as [letting  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{p}_n$ ]

$$p_{\mu}\langle \hat{j}_{\mu,\mathbf{p}}^{\sharp}\rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} p_{\mu} \hat{A}_{\mu_{1},\mathbf{p}_{1}} \cdots \hat{A}_{\mu_{n},\mathbf{p}_{n}} \langle j_{\mu,\mathbf{p}}^{\sharp}; j_{\mu_{1},\mathbf{p}_{1}}; \cdots; j_{m_{n},\mathbf{p}_{n}} \rangle_{0} = 0.$$

That is, all loop diagrams cancel:



However, the loop diagrams with  $n \leq 3$  are UV divergent! We need an UV regularization (to be eventually removed) in order to give the diagrams and to the cancellations a meaning.

#### The axial anomaly

Fact: there is no way to add an UV regularization preserving both the vectorial and axial current conservations. If we choose to preserve the vectorial U(1) gauge symmetry, then

$$\langle \partial_{\mu} j^{5}_{\mu} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = -\frac{i}{2\pi^{2}} \varepsilon_{lphaeta
u\sigma} \partial_{lpha} A_{
u} \partial_{eta} A_{\sigma} \; .$$

 $\frac{1}{2\pi^2}$  is the ABJ anomaly, determined by the triangle graph:



## Radiative corrections, Adler-Bardeen theorem

What if we add interactions, i.e., coupling with dynamical e.m. field? Is the triangle graph dressed by radiative corrections?



Adler-Bardeen theorem: NO! All possible dressings of the triangle cancel exactly. Required: specific UV regularization, exact relativistic invariance of the fermionic propagator.

[Deep consequences in QED and Standard Model: exact decay rate of  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ , constraint on the number of lepton/quark families.]

## Outline



# The chiral anomaly in QED<sub>4</sub>

# Lattice Weyl semimetals & Main results

# Sketch of the proof

## The non-interacting model

Spinless electrons on a simple cubic lattice, with two orbitals of opposite parity at each site.

#### The non-interacting model

Spinless electrons on a simple cubic lattice, with two orbitals of opposite parity at each site.

Bloch Hamiltonian: 
$$\hat{H}^0(k) = egin{pmatrix} lpha(k) & eta(k) \ \overline{eta}(k) & -lpha(k) \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where  $\alpha(k) = t_3(2 + \zeta - \cos k_1 - \cos k_2 - \cos k_3)$  and  $\beta(k) = t_1 \sin k_1 - it_2 \sin k_2$ , with  $t_1, t_2, t_3 > 0$  hopping parameters, and  $-1 < \zeta < 1$  tunes location of Weyl nodes.

## The non-interacting model

Spinless electrons on a simple cubic lattice, with two orbitals of opposite parity at each site.

Bloch Hamiltonian: 
$$\hat{H}^0(k) = egin{pmatrix} lpha(k) & eta(k) \ \overline{eta}(k) & -lpha(k) \end{pmatrix} \,,$$

where  $\alpha(k) = t_3(2 + \zeta - \cos k_1 - \cos k_2 - \cos k_3)$  and  $\beta(k) = t_1 \sin k_1 - it_2 \sin k_2$ , with  $t_1, t_2, t_3 > 0$  hopping parameters, and  $-1 < \zeta < 1$  tunes location of Weyl nodes.

Energy bands touch with conical singularity at  $k = p_F^{\pm}$ , with  $p_F^{\pm} = (0, 0, \pm \arccos \zeta)$ : Weyl nodes merge as  $\zeta \to 1^-$ 



## **Symmetries**

#### The Hamiltonian breaks time-reversal symmetry:

$$\hat{H}^0(k) 
eq \overline{\hat{H}^0(-k)}$$

and is inversion-symmetric:

$$\hat{H}^0(k) = \sigma_3 \hat{H}^0(-k) \sigma_3.$$

### **Symmetries**

The Hamiltonian breaks time-reversal symmetry:

$$\hat{H}^0(k)
eq\overline{\hat{H}^0(-k)}$$

and is inversion-symmetric:

$$\hat{H}^0(k) = \sigma_3 \hat{H}^0(-k) \sigma_3.$$

The reflection symmetries

 $\hat{H}^0(k) = \hat{H}^0ig((k_1,k_2,-k_3)ig) \quad ext{and} \quad \hat{H}^0(k) = -\sigma_1\hat{H}^0ig((-k_1,k_2,k_3)ig)\sigma_1$ 

guarantee that the Weyl nodes are located along  $\hat{e}_3$ .
#### Symmetries

The Hamiltonian breaks time-reversal symmetry:

$$\hat{H}^{0}(k)
eq\overline{\hat{H}^{0}(-k)}$$

and is inversion-symmetric:

$$\hat{H}^0(k) = \sigma_3 \hat{H}^0(-k) \sigma_3.$$

The reflection symmetries

$$\hat{H}^0(k) = \hat{H}^0ig((k_1,k_2,-k_3)ig) \quad ext{and} \quad \hat{H}^0(k) = -\sigma_1\hat{H}^0ig((-k_1,k_2,k_3)ig)\sigma_1$$

guarantee that the Weyl nodes are located along  $\hat{e}_3$ .

The WSM phase of  $\hat{H}^0(k)$  appears as a transitional state between a NI ( $\zeta < -1$ ) and a TI ( $\zeta > 1$ ).

#### Effective Weyl Hamiltonian and two-point function

In the vicinity of the Weyl nodes  $p_F^{\omega} = (0, 0, \omega \arccos \zeta)$ ,

$$\hat{H}^{0}(k) \simeq v_{1}^{0}k_{1}'\sigma_{1} + v_{2}^{0}k_{2}'\sigma_{2} + \omega v_{3}^{0}\sigma_{3}k_{3}',$$

where  $(v_1^0, v_2^0, v_3^0) = (t_1, t_2, t_3\sqrt{1-\zeta^2})$  are the free Fermi velocities,  $\omega$  is the chirality index, and  $k' = k - p_F^{\omega}$ .

## Effective Weyl Hamiltonian and two-point function

In the vicinity of the Weyl nodes  $p_F^{\omega} = (0, 0, \omega \arccos \zeta)$ ,

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}^0(k)\simeq v_1^0k_1^\prime\sigma_1+v_2^0k_2^\prime\sigma_2+\omega v_3^0\sigma_3k_3^\prime,$$

where  $(v_1^0, v_2^0, v_3^0) = (t_1, t_2, t_3\sqrt{1-\zeta^2})$  are the free Fermi velocities,  $\omega$  is the chirality index, and  $k' = k - p_F^{\omega}$ .

If 
$$H_0 = \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^3} \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \hat{a}_k^+ \hat{H}^0(k) \hat{a}_k^-$$
 and  $\langle \cdot \rangle_0 = \lim_{\beta, L \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(e^{-\beta H_0} \cdot)}{\operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H_0}},$ 

then the two-point function reads:

$$\langle a_x^- a_y^+ \rangle_0 = \int \frac{dk_0 d^3 k}{(2\pi)^4} (-ik_0 + \hat{H}_0(k))^{-1} e^{-ik \cdot (x-y)}$$

#### Effective Weyl Hamiltonian and two-point function

In the vicinity of the Weyl nodes  $p_F^{\omega} = (0, 0, \omega \arccos \zeta)$ ,

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}^0(k)\simeq v_1^0k_1^\prime\sigma_1+v_2^0k_2^\prime\sigma_2+\omega v_3^0\sigma_3k_3^\prime,$$

where  $(v_1^0, v_2^0, v_3^0) = (t_1, t_2, t_3\sqrt{1-\zeta^2})$  are the free Fermi velocities,  $\omega$  is the chirality index, and  $k' = k - p_F^{\omega}$ .

If 
$$H_0 = \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^3} \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \hat{a}_k^+ \hat{H}^0(k) \hat{a}_k^-$$
 and  $\langle \cdot \rangle_0 = \lim_{\beta, L \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(e^{-\beta H_0} \cdot)}{\operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H_0}},$ 

then the two-point function reads:

$$\langle a_x^- a_y^+ \rangle_0 \simeq \sum_{\omega=\pm} e^{-i\rho_F^\omega \cdot (x-y)} \int_{k\simeq \rho_F^\omega} \frac{dk_0 \, d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^4} (\sum_{\mu=0}^3 \sigma_\mu^\omega v_\mu^0 k'_\mu)^{-1} e^{-ik' \cdot (x-y)}$$

where  $(\sigma_{\mu}^{\omega}) = (-i\mathbb{1}, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \omega\sigma_3)$ , and  $v_0^0 \equiv 1$ ,  $k'_0 \equiv k_0$ .

We consider an interacting version of the model:

$$H = H_0 + \lambda V_0 + \nu N_3$$

where  $V_0$  is a short-range density-density interaction,  $N_3 = \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \hat{\psi}_k^+ \sigma_3 \hat{\psi}_k^-$ , and  $\nu$  is used to fix the location of  $p_F^{\omega}$ .

We consider an interacting version of the model:

$$H = H_0 + \lambda V_0 + \nu N_3$$

where  $V_0$  is a short-range density-density interaction,  $N_3 = \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \hat{\psi}_k^+ \sigma_3 \hat{\psi}_k^-$ , and  $\nu$  is used to fix the location of  $p_F^{\omega}$ .

We let  $\langle \cdot \rangle = \lim_{\beta, L \to \infty} \operatorname{Tr}(e^{-\beta H} \cdot) / \operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H}$ .

We consider an interacting version of the model:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \lambda \, \mathcal{V}_0 + \nu \, \mathcal{N}_3$$

where  $V_0$  is a short-range density-density interaction,  $N_3 = \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \hat{\psi}_k^+ \sigma_3 \hat{\psi}_k^-$ , and  $\nu$  is used to fix the location of  $p_F^{\omega}$ .

We let  $\langle \cdot \rangle = \lim_{\beta, L \to \infty} \operatorname{Tr}(e^{-\beta H} \cdot) / \operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H}$ . We are interested in the response of  $N^5 = \sum_x n_x^5$  to an external e.m. field, where

$$n_x^5 = rac{Z_{ ext{bare}}^5}{4} \sum_{\delta=\pm} (ia_x^+ a_{x+\delta e_3}^- - ia_{x+\delta e_3}^+ a_x^-).$$

We consider an interacting version of the model:

$$H = H_0 + \lambda V_0 + \nu N_3$$

where  $V_0$  is a short-range density-density interaction,  $N_3 = \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \hat{\psi}_k^+ \sigma_3 \hat{\psi}_k^-$ , and  $\nu$  is used to fix the location of  $p_F^{\omega}$ .

We let  $\langle \cdot \rangle = \lim_{\beta, L \to \infty} \operatorname{Tr}(e^{-\beta H} \cdot) / \operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H}$ . We are interested in the response of  $N^5 = \sum_x n_x^5$  to an external e.m. field, where

$$n_x^5 = \frac{Z_{\text{bare}}^5}{4} \sum_{\delta=\pm} (ia_x^+ a_{x+\delta e_3}^- - ia_{x+\delta e_3}^+ a_x^-). \quad \text{Note:}$$

We consider an interacting version of the model:

$$H = H_0 + \lambda V_0 + \nu N_3$$

where  $V_0$  is a short-range density-density interaction,  $N_3 = \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \hat{\psi}_k^+ \sigma_3 \hat{\psi}_k^-$ , and  $\nu$  is used to fix the location of  $p_F^{\omega}$ .

We let  $\langle \cdot \rangle = \lim_{\beta, L \to \infty} \operatorname{Tr}(e^{-\beta H} \cdot) / \operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H}$ . We are interested in the response of  $N^5 = \sum_x n_x^5$  to an external e.m. field, where

$$n_{x}^{5} = \frac{Z_{\text{bare}}^{5}}{4} \sum_{\delta = \pm} (ia_{x}^{+}a_{x+\delta e_{3}}^{-} - ia_{x+\delta e_{3}}^{+}a_{x}^{-}). \quad \text{Note:}$$
$$N^{5} = Z_{\text{bare}}^{5} \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^{3}} \sin k_{3}\hat{a}_{k}^{+}\hat{a}_{k}^{-} \simeq Z_{\text{bare}}^{5} \sin p_{F,3}^{+} \sum_{\omega = \pm} \omega \int_{k' \simeq 0} \frac{dk'}{(2\pi)^{3}} \hat{a}_{\omega,k'}^{+} \hat{a}_{\omega,k'}^{-},$$

where  $\hat{a}_{\omega,k'}^{\pm} = \hat{a}_{k'+\rho_F^{\omega}}^{\pm}$ .  $N^5$  is lattice analogue of the chiral charge.

We consider an interacting version of the model:

$$H = H_0 + \lambda V_0 + \nu N_3$$

where  $V_0$  is a short-range density-density interaction,  $N_3 = \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \hat{\psi}_k^+ \sigma_3 \hat{\psi}_k^-$ , and  $\nu$  is used to fix the location of  $p_F^{\omega}$ .

We let  $\langle \cdot \rangle = \lim_{\beta, L \to \infty} \operatorname{Tr}(e^{-\beta H} \cdot) / \operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H}$ . We are interested in the response of  $N^5 = \sum_x n_x^5$  to an external e.m. field, where

$$n_{x}^{5} = \frac{Z_{\text{bare}}^{5}}{4} \sum_{\delta = \pm} (ia_{x}^{+}a_{x+\delta e_{3}}^{-} - ia_{x+\delta e_{3}}^{+}a_{x}^{-}). \quad \text{Note:}$$

$$N^{5} = Z_{\text{bare}}^{5} \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^{3}} \sin k_{3}\hat{a}_{k}^{+}\hat{a}_{k}^{-} \simeq Z_{\text{bare}}^{5} \sin p_{F,3}^{+} \sum_{\omega = \pm} \omega \int_{k' \simeq 0} \frac{dk'}{(2\pi)^{3}} \hat{a}_{\omega,k'}^{+} \hat{a}_{\omega,k'}^{-},$$

where  $\hat{a}_{\omega,k'}^{\pm} = \hat{a}_{k'+p_F^{\omega}}^{\pm}$ .  $N^5$  is lattice analogue of the chiral charge.  $Z_{\text{bare}}^5$  fixed by imposing: dressed chiral charge  $\equiv$  electric charge.

Gauge invariant coupling to an external e.m. field: any hopping  $t_{x,y}a_{i,x}^+a_{i,y}^-$  is modified into (Peierl's substitution):

$$t_{x,y}a^+_{i,x}a^-_{j,y} \longrightarrow t_{x,y}(A)a^+_{i,x}a^-_{j,y} = t_{x,y}e^{i\int_{x\to y}A(\ell)\cdot d\ell}a^+_{i,x}a^-_{j,y}.$$

Gauge invariant coupling to an external e.m. field: any hopping  $t_{x,y}a_{i,x}^+a_{i,y}^-$  is modified into (Peierl's substitution):

$$t_{x,y}a^+_{i,x}a^-_{j,y} \longrightarrow t_{x,y}(A)a^+_{i,x}a^-_{j,y} = t_{x,y}e^{i\int_{x\to y}A(\ell)\cdot d\ell}a^+_{i,x}a^-_{j,y}.$$

The A-dependent hopping term is gauge covariant under

$$a_{i,x}^{\pm} 
ightarrow e^{\pm i lpha(x)} a_{i,x}^{\pm}, \qquad A(x) 
ightarrow A(x) + \partial lpha(x).$$

Gauge invariant coupling to an external e.m. field: any hopping  $t_{x,y}a_{i,x}^+a_{i,y}^-$  is modified into (Peierl's substitution):

$$t_{x,y}a^+_{i,x}a^-_{j,y} \longrightarrow t_{x,y}(A)a^+_{i,x}a^-_{j,y} = t_{x,y}e^{i\int_{x\to y}A(\ell)\cdot d\ell}a^+_{i,x}a^-_{j,y}.$$

The A-dependent hopping term is gauge covariant under

$$a_{i,x}^{\pm} 
ightarrow e^{\pm i lpha(x)} a_{i,x}^{\pm}, \qquad {\cal A}(x) 
ightarrow {\cal A}(x) + \partial lpha(x).$$

We let  $H_0(A)$  be the gauge covariant hopping term. Note: the interaction is gauge invariant.

Gauge invariant coupling to an external e.m. field: any hopping  $t_{x,y}a_{i,x}^+a_{j,y}^-$  is modified into (Peierl's substitution):

$$t_{x,y}a^+_{i,x}a^-_{j,y} \longrightarrow t_{x,y}(A)a^+_{i,x}a^-_{j,y} = t_{x,y}e^{i\int_{x\to y}A(\ell)\cdot d\ell}a^+_{i,x}a^-_{j,y}.$$

The A-dependent hopping term is gauge covariant under

$$a_{i,x}^{\pm} 
ightarrow e^{\pm i lpha(x)} a_{i,x}^{\pm}, \qquad {\cal A}(x) 
ightarrow {\cal A}(x) + \partial lpha(x).$$

We let  $H_0(A)$  be the gauge covariant hopping term. Note: the interaction is gauge invariant.

Also the chiral density is coupled to the e.m. field:

$$n_{x}^{5}(A) = \frac{Z_{\text{bare}}^{5}}{4} \sum_{\delta = \pm} (ia_{x}^{+}a_{x+e_{3}}^{-}e^{i\int_{0}^{1}A_{3}(x+s\delta e_{3})ds} + H.c.)$$

External e.m. potential:  $A_x(t) = e^{\eta t} A_x$  for  $t \le 0$ , with  $\eta > 0$  small (adiabatic switching),  $A_x$  slowly varying in space.

External e.m. potential:  $A_x(t) = e^{\eta t} A_x$  for  $t \le 0$ , with  $\eta > 0$  small (adiabatic switching),  $A_x$  slowly varying in space.

 $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\beta,L;t} \equiv \operatorname{Tr} \cdot \rho(t)$ : time-dependent state of the system, with  $\rho(t)$  solution of  $i\partial_t \rho(t) = [H(A(t)), \rho(t)]$  s.t.  $\rho(-\infty) \propto e^{-\beta H}$ .

External e.m. potential:  $A_x(t) = e^{\eta t} A_x$  for  $t \le 0$ , with  $\eta > 0$  small (adiabatic switching),  $A_x$  slowly varying in space.

 $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\beta,L;t} \equiv \operatorname{Tr} \cdot \rho(t)$ : time-dependent state of the system, with  $\rho(t)$  solution of  $i\partial_t \rho(t) = [H(A(t)), \rho(t)]$  s.t.  $\rho(-\infty) \propto e^{-\beta H}$ .

By formally expanding in A, for A small with zero average:

$$\lim_{\beta,L\to\infty} L^{-3} \langle N^5(A(t))\rangle_{\beta,L;t} = \frac{i}{2} \int \frac{dp}{(2\pi)^3} \big(\hat{A}_p(t),\hat{\Pi}^5_0(\eta,p)\hat{A}_{-p}(t)\big) + O(A^3).$$

External e.m. potential:  $A_x(t) = e^{\eta t} A_x$  for  $t \le 0$ , with  $\eta > 0$  small (adiabatic switching),  $A_x$  slowly varying in space.

 $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\beta,L;t} \equiv \operatorname{Tr} \cdot \rho(t)$ : time-dependent state of the system, with  $\rho(t)$  solution of  $i\partial_t \rho(t) = [H(A(t)), \rho(t)]$  s.t.  $\rho(-\infty) \propto e^{-\beta H}$ .

By formally expanding in A, for A small with zero average:

$$\lim_{\beta,L\to\infty} L^{-3} \langle N^5(A(t)) \rangle_{\beta,L;t} = \frac{i}{2} \int \frac{dp}{(2\pi)^3} (\hat{A}_p(t), \hat{\Pi}_0^5(\eta, p) \hat{A}_{-p}(t)) + O(A^3).$$

By definition,  $\hat{\Pi}_0^5(\eta, p)$  is the quadratic response coefficient of the chiral density to the external e.m. field A.

External e.m. potential:  $A_x(t) = e^{\eta t} A_x$  for  $t \le 0$ , with  $\eta > 0$  small (adiabatic switching),  $A_x$  slowly varying in space.

 $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\beta,L;t} \equiv \operatorname{Tr} \cdot \rho(t)$ : time-dependent state of the system, with  $\rho(t)$  solution of  $i\partial_t \rho(t) = [H(A(t)), \rho(t)]$  s.t.  $\rho(-\infty) \propto e^{-\beta H}$ .

By formally expanding in A, for A small with zero average:

$$\lim_{\beta,L\to\infty} L^{-3} \langle N^5(A(t)) \rangle_{\beta,L;t} = \frac{i}{2} \int \frac{dp}{(2\pi)^3} \big( \hat{A}_p(t), \hat{\Pi}_0^5(\eta, p) \hat{A}_{-p}(t) \big) + O(A^3).$$

By definition,  $\hat{\Pi}_0^5(\eta, p)$  is the quadratic response coefficient of the chiral density to the external e.m. field A.

It is the analogue of the dressed triangle graph in  $QED_4$ .

## Main result

#### Theorem (G., Mastropietro, Porta 2020)

For  $|\lambda|$  small enough and any  $\zeta \in (-1, 1)$ , there exists  $\nu = \nu(\lambda) = O(\lambda)$  s.t. interacting two-point function is singular at  $p_F^{\pm}$ ,  $Z_{\rm bare}^5 = Z_{\rm bare}^5(\lambda) = 1 + O(\lambda)$  such that the chiral dressed charge equals the electric charge.

Fixing  $\nu$  and  $Z_{\rm bare}^5$  this way, for small enough  $\eta, p$ :

$$\hat{\Pi}^{5}_{0,i,j}(\eta,p) = -rac{1}{2\pi^2}\sum_{l=1}^{3}\varepsilon_{ijl}\,p_l + \hat{R}^{5}(\eta,p),$$

where  $\varepsilon_{ijl}$  is the Levi-Civita symbol and, for any  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ :

$$|\hat{R}^5(\eta, p)| = O([\max\{\eta, |p|\}]^{1+ heta})$$

The result holds uniformly in the distance between the Weyl nodes.

• The result holds uniformly in the distance between the Weyl nodes.  $\nu, Z_{\text{bare}}^5$  and  $\hat{\Pi}_0^5$  are analytic in  $\lambda$ .

The result holds uniformly in the distance between the Weyl nodes. ν, Z<sup>5</sup><sub>bare</sub> and Π<sup>5</sup><sub>0</sub> are analytic in λ.
 Plugging Π<sup>5</sup><sub>0,i,j</sub>(η, p) ≃ -1/(2π<sup>2</sup>) Σ<sup>3</sup><sub>l=1</sub> ε<sub>ijl</sub>p<sub>l</sub> into (n<sup>5</sup>(A(t)))<sub>t</sub> ≡ lim<sub>β,L→∞</sub> L<sup>-3</sup> ⟨N<sup>5</sup>(A(t)))<sub>β,L;t</sub> ≃ i/2 ∫ dp/(2π)<sup>3</sup> (Â<sub>p</sub>(t), Π<sup>5</sup><sub>0</sub>(η, p)Â<sub>-p</sub>(t))

we find that:

The result holds uniformly in the distance between the Weyl nodes. ν, Z<sup>5</sup><sub>bare</sub> and Π<sup>5</sup><sub>0</sub> are analytic in λ.
 Plugging Π<sup>5</sup><sub>0,i,j</sub>(η, p) ≃ -<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2π<sup>2</sup></sub> Σ<sup>3</sup><sub>l=1</sub> ε<sub>ijl</sub>p<sub>l</sub> into (n<sup>5</sup>(A(t)))<sub>t</sub> ≡ lim<sub>β,L→∞</sub> L<sup>-3</sup> ⟨N<sup>5</sup>(A(t)))<sub>β,L;t</sub> ≃ i/2 ∫ dp/(2π)<sup>3</sup> (Â<sub>p</sub>(t), Π<sup>5</sup><sub>0</sub>(η, p)Â<sub>-p</sub>(t))

we find that:

$$\partial_t \langle n^5(A(t)) 
angle_t \simeq rac{1}{2\pi^2} \int dx \, E_x(t) \cdot B_x(t),$$

which is the same as Nielsen-Ninomiya but in the interacting case!

The result holds uniformly in the distance between the Weyl nodes. ν, Z<sup>5</sup><sub>bare</sub> and Π<sup>5</sup><sub>0</sub> are analytic in λ.
 Plugging Π<sup>5</sup><sub>0,i,j</sub>(η, p) ≃ -1/(2π<sup>2</sup>) Σ<sup>3</sup><sub>l=1</sub> ε<sub>ijl</sub>p<sub>l</sub> into (n<sup>5</sup>(A(t)))<sub>t</sub> ≡ lim<sub>β,L→∞</sub> L<sup>-3</sup> ⟨N<sup>5</sup>(A(t)))<sub>β,L;t</sub> ≃ i/2 ∫ dp/(2π)<sup>3</sup> (Â<sub>p</sub>(t), Π<sup>5</sup><sub>0</sub>(η, p)Â<sub>-p</sub>(t))

we find that:

$$\langle \partial_t \langle n^5(A(t)) 
angle_t \simeq rac{1}{2\pi^2} \int dx \, E_x(t) \cdot B_x(t),$$

which is the same as Nielsen-Ninomiya but in the interacting case!

This equation has measurable implications (negative longitudinal magnetoresistance) for real Weyl SM.

## Outline



# 2 The chiral anomaly in QED<sub>4</sub>

# 3 Lattice Weyl semimetals & Main results



## Asymptotic freedom of the infrared theory

Ground state correlations constructed via a rigorous RG, analogous to the one used by G-Mastropietro for the half-filled Hubbard model on the hexagonal lattice.

IR theory of WSM, even if massless, very well behaved: quartic interaction is irrelevant  $\Rightarrow$  scales to zero at large distances (scaling dimension of  $\psi^n A^m$  kernels:  $D = 4 - \frac{3}{2}n - m$ ).

## Asymptotic freedom of the infrared theory

Ground state correlations constructed via a rigorous RG, analogous to the one used by G-Mastropietro for the half-filled Hubbard model on the hexagonal lattice.

IR theory of WSM, even if massless, very well behaved: quartic interaction is irrelevant  $\Rightarrow$  scales to zero at large distances (scaling dimension of  $\psi^n A^m$  kernels:  $D = 4 - \frac{3}{2}n - m$ ).

Large distance decay of two-point and vertex functions

$$\hat{S}_2(\mathbf{k}) := \langle \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^- \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^+ 
angle$$
 and  $\hat{\Gamma}_0(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) := \langle \hat{n}_{\mathbf{p}}; \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}}^- \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^+ 
angle$ 

same as for non-interacting model, up to finite multiplicative renormalization and finite dressing of the Fermi velocities.

#### Dressed two-point and vertex function

Interacting two-point function. For  $\mathbf{k}'$  small:

$$\hat{S}_2(\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{p}_F^\omega)\simeq rac{1}{Z}ig(\sum_{\mu=0}^3\sigma_\mu^\omega v_\mu k_\mu'ig)^{-1},$$

with  $Z = Z(\lambda) = 1 + O(\lambda)$  and  $v_{\mu} = v_{\mu}^{0}(1 + O(\lambda))$ ,  $v_{0} \equiv 1$ .

#### Dressed two-point and vertex function

Interacting two-point function. For k' small:

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}}_2(\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{p}_F^\omega)\simeq rac{1}{Z}ig(\sum_{\mu=0}^3\sigma_\mu^\omega v_\mu k_\mu'ig)^{-1},$$

with  $Z = Z(\lambda) = 1 + O(\lambda)$  and  $v_{\mu} = v_{\mu}^{0}(1 + O(\lambda))$ ,  $v_{0} \equiv 1$ .

Interacting vertex function. For  $\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{p}$  small:

$$\hat{\Gamma}_0(\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{p}_F^\omega, \mathbf{p}) \simeq Z_0 \hat{S}_2(\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}_F^\omega) \hat{S}_2(\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{p}_F^\omega).$$
  
where  $Z_0 = Z_0(\lambda) = 1 + O(\lambda).$ 

## Dressed two-point and vertex function

Interacting two-point function. For k' small:

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}}_2(\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{p}_F^\omega)\simeq rac{1}{Z}ig(\sum_{\mu=0}^3\sigma_\mu^\omega v_\mu k_\mu'ig)^{-1},$$

with  $Z = Z(\lambda) = 1 + O(\lambda)$  and  $v_{\mu} = v_{\mu}^{0}(1 + O(\lambda))$ ,  $v_{0} \equiv 1$ .

Interacting vertex function. For  $\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{p}$  small:

$$\hat{\Gamma}_0(\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{p}_F^\omega,\mathbf{p})\simeq Z_0\hat{S}_2(\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{p}_F^\omega)\hat{S}_2(\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{p}_F^\omega)$$

where  $Z_0 = Z_0(\lambda) = 1 + O(\lambda)$ .

A Ward Identity guarantees that  $Z_0 = Z$ Physical meaning: dressed electric charge  $\equiv$  bare charge.

Interacting chiral vertex function:

$$\hat{\Gamma}_0^5(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{p}) := \langle \hat{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^5; \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}}^- \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^+ 
angle.$$

Interacting chiral vertex function:

$$\hat{\Gamma}_0^5(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{p}) := \langle \hat{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^5; \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}}^- \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^+ 
angle.$$

For  $\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{p}$  small:

$$\hat{\Gamma}_0(\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{p}_F^\omega, \mathbf{p}) \simeq \tilde{Z} Z_{\text{bare}}^5 \omega \hat{S}_2(\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}_F^\omega) \hat{S}_2(\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{p}_F^\omega).$$
  
with  $\tilde{Z} = \tilde{Z}(\lambda) = 1 + O(\lambda).$ 

Interacting chiral vertex function:

$$\hat{\Gamma}_0^5(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{p}) := \langle \hat{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^5; \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}}^- \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^+ 
angle.$$

For  $\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{p}$  small:

$$\hat{\Gamma}_0(\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{p}_F^\omega,\mathbf{p})\simeq ilde{Z}\,Z_{\mathrm{bare}}^5\omega\hat{S}_2(\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{p}_F^\omega)\hat{S}_2(\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{p}_F^\omega).$$

with  $\tilde{Z} = \tilde{Z}(\lambda) = 1 + O(\lambda)$ . NOTE: no chiral gauge symmetry  $\Rightarrow$  no a priori relation between relating  $Z_0^5 := \tilde{Z} Z_{\text{bare}}^5$  and Z.

Interacting chiral vertex function:

$$\hat{\Gamma}_0^5(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{p}) := \langle \hat{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^5; \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}}^- \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^+ 
angle.$$

For  $\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{p}$  small:

$$\hat{\mathsf{\Gamma}}_0(\mathsf{k}'+\mathsf{p}_F^\omega,\mathsf{p})\simeq ilde{Z}\,Z_{ ext{bare}}^5\omega\hat{S}_2(\mathsf{k}'+\mathsf{p}+\mathsf{p}_F^\omega)\hat{S}_2(\mathsf{k}'+\mathsf{p}_F^\omega).$$

with  $\tilde{Z} = \tilde{Z}(\lambda) = 1 + O(\lambda)$ . NOTE: no chiral gauge symmetry  $\Rightarrow$  no a priori relation between relating  $Z_0^5 := \tilde{Z} Z_{\text{bare}}^5$  and Z.

Criterium for fixing  $Z_{\text{bare}}^5$  ('same' used by Adler in QED<sub>4</sub>):

$$Z_0^5 = \tilde{Z} Z_{\text{bare}}^5 \equiv Z$$

which means: dressed chiral charge  $\equiv$  electric charge.

#### IR decomposition of quadratic response

Vertex functions for (non-)chiral density can be generalized to (non-)chiral lattice currents  $\hat{J}_{\mu,\mathbf{p}}$  and  $\hat{J}_{\mu,\mathbf{p}}^5$ ,  $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ .
#### IR decomposition of quadratic response

Vertex functions for (non-)chiral density can be generalized to (non-)chiral lattice currents  $\hat{J}_{\mu,\mathbf{p}}$  and  $\hat{J}_{\mu,\mathbf{p}}^5$ ,  $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ .

Ward Identities  $\Rightarrow Z_{\mu} = Z v_{\mu}$ 

Bare constants  $Z^5_{\mu,\text{bare}}$  fixed s.t.  $Z^5_{\mu} = Z_{\mu}$ .

### IR decomposition of quadratic response

Vertex functions for (non-)chiral density can be generalized to (non-)chiral lattice currents  $\hat{J}_{\mu,\mathbf{p}}$  and  $\hat{J}_{\mu,\mathbf{p}}^5$ ,  $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ .

Ward Identities  $\Rightarrow Z_{\mu} = Z v_{\mu}$ 

Bare constants  $Z^5_{\mu, {
m bare}}$  fixed s.t.  $Z^5_{\mu}=Z_{\mu}.$ 

Quadratic response of  $\hat{J}^{5}_{\mu,\mathbf{p}}$  to external e.m. field:  $\hat{\Pi}^{5}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{p}_{1},\mathbf{p}_{2})$ Asymptotic freedom in IR + Ward Identities +  $(Z^{5}_{\mu} = Z_{\mu}) \Rightarrow$ 

$$\hat{\Pi}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}^{5}(\mathbf{p}_{1},\mathbf{p}_{2}) = \frac{v_{\mu}v_{\nu}v_{\sigma}}{v_{1}v_{2}v_{3}}I_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\overline{\mathbf{p}}_{1},\overline{\mathbf{p}}_{2}) + H_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}^{5}(\mathbf{p}_{1},\mathbf{p}_{2})$$
(\*)

where:

### IR decomposition of quadratic response

Vertex functions for (non-)chiral density can be generalized to (non-)chiral lattice currents  $\hat{J}_{\mu,\mathbf{p}}$  and  $\hat{J}_{\mu,\mathbf{p}}^{5}$ ,  $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ .

Ward Identities  $\Rightarrow \boxed{Z_{\mu} = Z v_{\mu}}$ 

Bare constants  $Z^5_{\mu, \text{bare}}$  fixed s.t.  $Z^5_{\mu} = Z_{\mu}$ .

Quadratic response of  $\hat{J}_{\mu,\mathbf{p}}^5$  to external e.m. field:  $\hat{\Pi}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}^5(\mathbf{p}_1,\mathbf{p}_2)$ Asymptotic freedom in IR + Ward Identities +  $(Z_{\mu}^5 = Z_{\mu}) \Rightarrow$ 

$$\hat{\Pi}^{5}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{p}_{1},\mathbf{p}_{2}) = \frac{v_{\mu}v_{\nu}v_{\sigma}}{v_{1}v_{2}v_{3}}I_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\overline{\mathbf{p}}_{1},\overline{\mathbf{p}}_{2}) + H^{5}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{p}_{1},\mathbf{p}_{2})$$
(\*)

where:  $I_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2)$  is the relativistic chiral triangle graph with momentum cutoff,  $\overline{p}_{i,\mu} = v_{\mu}p_{i,\mu}$  and the *subdominant* term  $H^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}$  is  $C^{1+\theta}$  in a neighborhood of  $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$  (while  $I_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}$  has discontinuous derivatives at the origin).

#### The relativistic chiral triangle graph

$$\hat{\Pi}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}^{5}(\mathbf{p}_{1},\mathbf{p}_{2}) = \frac{\nu_{\mu}\nu_{\nu}\nu_{\sigma}}{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}\nu_{3}}I_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\overline{\mathbf{p}}_{1},\overline{\mathbf{p}}_{2}) + H_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}^{5}(\mathbf{p}_{1},\mathbf{p}_{2}) \qquad (*)$$

where  $\overline{\mathbf{p}}_i = (p_{i,0}, v_1 p_{i,1}, v_2 p_{i,2}, v_3 p_{i,3})$ ,  $H^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma} \in C^{1+ heta}$  and

$$\begin{split} I_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{p}_1,\mathbf{p}_2) &= \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^4} \mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\frac{\chi(\mathbf{k})}{\mathbf{k}}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 \frac{\chi(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}_1)}{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}_1}\gamma_{\nu} \frac{\chi(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}_2)}{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}_2}\gamma_{\sigma}\Big\} + \\ &+ [(\nu,\mathbf{p}_1)\leftrightarrow(\sigma,\mathbf{p}_2)]. \end{split}$$

### The relativistic chiral triangle graph

$$\hat{\Pi}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}^{5}(\mathbf{p}_{1},\mathbf{p}_{2}) = \frac{\nu_{\mu}\nu_{\nu}\nu_{\sigma}}{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}\nu_{3}}I_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\overline{\mathbf{p}}_{1},\overline{\mathbf{p}}_{2}) + H_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}^{5}(\mathbf{p}_{1},\mathbf{p}_{2}) \qquad (*)$$

where 
$$\overline{\mathbf{p}}_{i} = (p_{i,0}, v_{1}p_{i,1}, v_{2}p_{i,2}, v_{3}p_{i,3})$$
,  $H_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}^{5} \in C^{1+ heta}$  and

$$\begin{split} I_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{p}_1,\mathbf{p}_2) &= \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^4} \mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\frac{\chi(\mathbf{k})}{\mathbf{k}}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 \frac{\chi(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}_1)}{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}_1}\gamma_{\nu} \frac{\chi(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}_2)}{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}_2}\gamma_{\sigma}\Big\} + \\ &+ [(\nu,\mathbf{p}_1) \leftrightarrow (\sigma,\mathbf{p}_2)]. \text{ A computation shows that} \end{split}$$

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^{3} (p_{1,\mu}+p_{2,\mu}) I_{\mu,
u,\sigma}(\mathbf{p}_1,\mathbf{p}_2) = rac{1}{6\pi^2} p_{1,lpha} p_{2,eta} arepsilon_{lphaeta
u\sigma} + h.o., 
onumber \ \sum_{
u=0}^{3} p_{1,
u} I_{\mu,
u,\sigma}(\mathbf{p}_1,\mathbf{p}_2) = rac{1}{6\pi^2} p_{1,lpha} p_{2,eta} arepsilon_{lphaeta\mu\sigma} + h.o.$$

We now use  $\sum_{\nu} p_{1,\nu} \hat{\Pi}^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2) = 0$ , which implies, together with (\*) and the  $C^{1+\theta}$  differentiability of  $H^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}$ :

$$\frac{p_{1,\alpha}p_{2,\beta}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\sigma}}{6\pi^2} + p_{1,\nu}\left(H^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}) + \sum_{j=1,2}p_{j,\alpha}\frac{\partial H^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}}{\partial p_{j,\alpha}}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})\right) = O(P^{2+\theta}),$$
with  $P = \max\{|\mathbf{p}_1|, |\mathbf{p}_2|\}.$ 

We now use  $\sum_{\nu} p_{1,\nu} \hat{\Pi}^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{p}_1,\mathbf{p}_2) = 0$ , which implies, together with (\*) and the  $C^{1+\theta}$  differentiability of  $H^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}$ :

$$\frac{p_{1,\alpha}p_{2,\beta}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\sigma}}{6\pi^2}+p_{1,\nu}\Big(H^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})+\sum_{j=1,2}p_{j,\alpha}\frac{\partial H^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}}{\partial p_{j,\alpha}}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})\Big)=O(P^{2+\theta}),$$

with  $P = \max\{|\mathbf{p}_1|, |\mathbf{p}_2|\}$ . From this:  $H^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}) = 0$  and

$$\frac{\partial H^{5}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}}{\partial p_{2,\beta}}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}) = -\frac{1}{6\pi^{2}}\varepsilon_{\nu\beta\mu\sigma}, \quad \frac{\partial H^{5}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}}{\partial p_{1,\alpha}}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}) = -\frac{1}{6\pi^{2}}\varepsilon_{\sigma\alpha\mu\nu} \quad (**)$$

We now use  $\sum_{\nu} p_{1,\nu} \hat{\Pi}^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2) = 0$ , which implies, together with (\*) and the  $C^{1+\theta}$  differentiability of  $H^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}$ :

$$\frac{p_{1,\alpha}p_{2,\beta}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\sigma}}{6\pi^2}+p_{1,\nu}\Big(H^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})+\sum_{j=1,2}p_{j,\alpha}\frac{\partial H^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}}{\partial p_{j,\alpha}}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})\Big)=O(P^{2+\theta}),$$

with  $P = \max\{|\mathbf{p}_1|, |\mathbf{p}_2|\}$ . From this:  $H^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}) = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial H^{5}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}}{\partial p_{2,\beta}}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}) &= -\frac{1}{6\pi^{2}}\varepsilon_{\nu\beta\mu\sigma}, \quad \frac{\partial H^{5}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}}{\partial p_{1,\alpha}}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}) = -\frac{1}{6\pi^{2}}\varepsilon_{\sigma\alpha\mu\nu} \quad (**) \end{aligned}$$
We now contract  $p_{\mu} = -p_{1,\mu} - p_{2,\mu}$  with  $\hat{\Pi}^{5}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{p}_{1},\mathbf{p}_{2})$ :  

$$\sum_{\mu} p_{\mu}\hat{\Pi}^{5}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\mu} p_{\mu}\frac{v_{\mu}v_{\nu}v_{\sigma}}{v_{1}v_{2}v_{3}}I_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\overline{\mathbf{p}}_{1},\overline{\mathbf{p}}_{2}) + \sum_{\mu} p_{\mu}H^{5}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{p}_{1},\mathbf{p}_{2}) \end{aligned}$$

First term: we computed it explicitly. Second term: use (\*\*).

We now use  $\sum_{\nu} p_{1,\nu} \hat{\Pi}^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2) = 0$ , which implies, together with (\*) and the  $C^{1+\theta}$  differentiability of  $H^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}$ :

$$\frac{p_{1,\alpha}p_{2,\beta}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\sigma}}{6\pi^2}+p_{1,\nu}\Big(H^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})+\sum_{j=1,2}p_{j,\alpha}\frac{\partial H^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}}{\partial p_{j,\alpha}}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})\Big)=O(P^{2+\theta}),$$

with  $P = \max\{|\mathbf{p}_1|, |\mathbf{p}_2|\}$ . From this:  $H^5_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}) = 0$  and

$$\frac{\partial H^{5}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}}{\partial p_{2,\beta}}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}) = -\frac{1}{6\pi^{2}}\varepsilon_{\nu\beta\mu\sigma}, \quad \frac{\partial H^{5}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}}{\partial p_{1,\alpha}}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}) = -\frac{1}{6\pi^{2}}\varepsilon_{\sigma\alpha\mu\nu} \quad (**)$$
  
We now contract  $p_{\mu} = -p_{1,\mu} - p_{2,\mu}$  with  $\hat{\Pi}^{5}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\mathbf{p}_{1},\mathbf{p}_{2})$ :

$$\sum_{\mu} p_{\mu} \hat{\Pi}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}^{5}(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\mu} p_{\mu} \frac{v_{\mu} v_{\nu} v_{\sigma}}{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}} I_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}(\overline{\mathbf{p}}_{1},\overline{\mathbf{p}}_{2}) + \sum_{\mu} p_{\mu} H_{\mu,\nu,\sigma}^{5}(\mathbf{p}_{1},\mathbf{p}_{2}).$$
  
First term: we computed it explicitly. Second term: use (\*\*).

$$\sum_{\mu} p_{\mu} \hat{\Pi}^{5}_{\mu,
u,\sigma}(\mathbf{p}_{1},\mathbf{p}_{2}) = -rac{1}{2\pi^{2}} p_{1,lpha} p_{2,eta} arepsilon_{lphaeta
u\sigma} + Oig(P^{2+ heta}ig).$$

– The chiral anomaly of  $QED_4$  has a cond-mat counterpart in Weyl semimetals. We proved the nonperturbative analogue of the Adler-Bardeen thm for interacting lattice Weyl fermions: non-renormalization of the anomaly.

– The chiral anomaly of  $QED_4$  has a cond-mat counterpart in Weyl semimetals. We proved the nonperturbative analogue of the Adler-Bardeen thm for interacting lattice Weyl fermions: non-renormalization of the anomaly.

 Proof based on constructive RG methods combined with lattice Ward Identities + bounds on regularity of correlations and of the Schwinger terms.

– The chiral anomaly of  $QED_4$  has a cond-mat counterpart in Weyl semimetals. We proved the nonperturbative analogue of the Adler-Bardeen thm for interacting lattice Weyl fermions: non-renormalization of the anomaly.

 Proof based on constructive RG methods combined with lattice Ward Identities + bounds on regularity of correlations and of the Schwinger terms.

- Open problems:
  - Effects of disorder?

– The chiral anomaly of  $QED_4$  has a cond-mat counterpart in Weyl semimetals. We proved the nonperturbative analogue of the Adler-Bardeen thm for interacting lattice Weyl fermions: non-renormalization of the anomaly.

 Proof based on constructive RG methods combined with lattice Ward Identities + bounds on regularity of correlations and of the Schwinger terms.

- Open problems:

- Effects of disorder?
- Coupling to a dynamical e.m. field: rigorous construction of infrared QED<sub>4</sub> [without photon mass counterterms]? Dynamical restoration of Lorentz invariance in the IR? Non-renormalization of the chiral anomaly?

# Thank you!